



04-07-03

Gp/2652

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

APR 09 2003

Technology Center 2600

In Re Application of:

Paul C. Coffin, *et al.*

Serial No.: 09/624,798

Filing Date: July 24, 2000

Title: RECONFIGURABLE CARTRIDGE
PROCESSING MODULE FOR STORING
CARTRIDGE RECEIVING DEVICES
IN A DATA STORAGE SYSTEM

Attorney Dkt.: HP 10001664-1

Examiner: J.A. Watko

Group Art Unit: 2652

Confirmation No. 6517

CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING

I hereby certify that the attached **Transmittal Letter for Response/Amendment; Response Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111 (9 pages); and post cards for return by the United States Patent and Trademark Office** are being deposited with the United States Postal Service addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Non-Fee Amendment, Washington, D.C. 20231, Express Mail No. EV 175422305 US, on this 3rd day of April 2003.

Bruce E. Dahl, Reg. No.33,670



IN THE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): Paul C. Coffin, et al.

Confirmation No.: 6517

Application No.: 09/624,798

Examiner: J. A. Watko

Filing Date: 07/24/2000

Group Art Unit: 2652

Title: RECONFIGURABLE CARTRIDGE PROCESSING MODULE FOR STORING CARTRIDGE
RECEIVING DEVICES IN A DATA STORAGE SYSTEM

RECEIVED

APR 09 2003

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Technology Center 2600

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is/are the following in the above-identified application:

- (X) Response/Amendment () Petition to extend time to respond
() New fee as calculated below () Supplemental Declaration
(X) No additional fee (Address envelope to "Box Non-Fee Amendments")
() Other: _____ (fee \$ _____)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY						
(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	(5) PRESENT EXTRA	(6) RATE	(7) ADDITIONAL FEES
TOTAL CLAIMS	16	MINUS	20	= 0	X \$18	\$ 0
INDEP. CLAIMS	4	MINUS	4	= 0	X \$84	\$ 0
[] FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM					+ \$280	\$ 0
EXTENSION FEE	1ST MONTH \$110.00	2ND MONTH \$410.00	3RD MONTH \$930.00	4TH MONTH \$1450.00		\$ 0
OTHER FEES						\$
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT						\$ 0

Charge \$ 0 to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16 through 1.21 inclusive, and any other sections in Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations that may regulate fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

"Express Mail" label no. EV 175422305 US

Date of Deposit 04/03/2003

I hereby certify that this is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

By

Typed Name: Bruce E. Dahl, Esq.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul C. Coffin, et al.

By

Bruce E. Dahl, Esq.

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 33,670

Date: 04/03/2003

Telephone No.: (303) 291-3200



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:)

COFFIN, Paul, C., et al.)

Serial No. 09/624,798)

Filing Date: July 24, 2000)

For: RECONFIGURABLE CARTRIDGE)
 PROCESSING MODULE FOR STORING)
 CARTRIDGE RECEIVING DEVICES)
 IN A DATA STORAGE SYSTEM)

Examiner: Watko, J.A.

Group Art Unit: 2652

Conf. No.: 6517

RECEIVED

APR 09 2003

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.111

Technology Center 2600

To: The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
 Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action, paper number 9, dated
 January 6, 2003, please enter the following:

R E M A R K S

Claims 1-6 and 10-20 are pending. Claims 1-6 and 10-20 are
 rejected. Re-examination and reconsideration are requested.

In the Office Action, paper number 9, dated January 6, 2003,
 the examiner rejected claims 1-6 and 10-29 under 35 U.S.C. 112,
 second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly
 point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the
 applicant regards as the invention. The examiner rejected claims
 1, 5, 14, 15, 17, and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being
 anticipated by Menke, et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,841,744
 ("Menke"). The examiner also rejected claims 1-6 and 10-20 under
 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Kersey, et al., U.S.
 Patent No. 5,870,245 ("Kersey").

Applicants believe that none of the currently-pending claims
 are anticipated by or obvious over the cited references and